

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/763,931	01/23/2004	Nady E. Nady	5032-105 US	7857	
75	90 05/16/2005		EXAM	INER	
Gregory C. Houghton, Esq. Mathews, Collins, Shepherd & McKay, P.A.			COMSTOCK, DAVID C		
Suite 306	ns, Shepherd & McKay, P	.A.	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
100 Thanet Circle			3732		
Princeton, NJ 08540			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/763,931	NADY, NADY E.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Comstock	3732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	g a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abar an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mus	ndonment of this app evidence, which place with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi</li> </ul>		e final rejection, whicheve	arie later In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAPP Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the MAMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	hs of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a 37(a).	the Notice of Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			pecause
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet</li> </ul>	w);	·	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	•	•	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wvided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.  S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/9B/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:	KEMIN SHAVER	Œ	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant has modified the limitations, language and scope of the claims, necessitating further search and consideration.